

An analysis of the logic of Riesz Spaces with strong unit

Antonio Di Nola, Serafina Lapenta

Department of Mathematics, University of Salerno,
Via Giovanni Paolo II, 132 Fisciano (SA), Italy
adinola@unisa.it, slapenta@unisa.it

Ioana Leuştean

Department of Computer Science,
Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest,
Academiei nr.14, sector 1, C.P. 010014, Bucharest, Romania
ioana@fmi.unibuc.ro

Abstract

In this paper we study Łukasiewicz logic enriched with a scalar multiplication with scalars from $[0, 1]$. Its algebraic models, the Riesz MV-algebras, are, up to isomorphism, unit intervals in Riesz spaces with strong unit. When only rational scalars are considered, one gets the class of DMV-algebras and a corresponding logical system. Our research follows two objectives. The first one is to deepen the connections between functional analysis and the logic of Riesz MV-algebras. The second one is to study the finitely presented MV-algebras, DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras, connecting them from logical, algebraic and geometric perspective.

Keywords: Łukasiewicz logic, MV-algebra, Riesz MV-algebra, DMV-algebra, limit, norm-completion, finitely presented, tensor product, polyhedron.

Introduction

MV-algebras were first introduced by C.C. Chang [13] in the late Fifties as the algebraic semantics for Łukasiewicz infinite-valued logic.

Nowadays, MV-algebras are a deeply investigated subject, connecting many-valued logic with several different areas of mathematics. One can study categor-

ical equivalences with lattice-ordered algebraic structures [38, 15, 41, 21, 26], geometrical dualities with polyhedra [36, 41, 31, 22], connections with C^* -algebras [38, 21], probability theory *à la De Finetti* [23, 41]. We refer to [41] for advanced developments on Łukasiewicz logic and MV-algebras.

The variety of MV-algebras is generated by $([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0)$, where $[0, 1]$ is the real unit interval, $x^* = 1 - x$ and $x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y)$ for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$. Since $[0, 1]$ is closed to the real product, a fruitful research direction studies MV-algebras endowed with product operations. Consequently, notable expansions of Łukasiewicz logic have been defined, taking the inspiration from the algebraic hierarchy of lattice-ordered structures.

In this paper we study *Riesz MV-algebras*, which are MV-algebras endowed with a scalar product with scalars from $[0, 1]$. Defined in [21], Riesz MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to Riesz spaces with strong unit. This result can be seen as a bridge between Łukasiewicz logic and functional analysis, a connection that is deepened in Section 2 and Section 3. The logical system $\mathcal{RL}$ that has Riesz MV-algebras as models is a conservative extension of Łukasiewicz logic. In Section 2 we prove that the system $\mathcal{RL}$ enjoys Pavelka-style completeness and we give a syntactic definition for the notion of *limit*. Moreover, in Section 3 we study the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of $\mathcal{RL}$ as a normed space. We prove that its Cauchy completions can be concretely defined as applications of the MV-algebraic versions of Kakutani's representation theorems for M-spaces and L-spaces.

The subsystem $\mathcal{QL}$ of $\mathcal{RL}$ is obtained by allowing only the rational scalars from $[0, 1]$. The deep connection between Łukasiewicz logic, $\mathcal{RL}$ and $\mathcal{QL}$ is the another research direction followed in our paper and we analyze it from three perspectives: logico-analytic, algebraic and geometric. We refer to [26, 31] for a detailed study on $\mathcal{QL}$ and its models, the *DMV-algebras*.

At logical level, we prove in Section 2 that any formula of $\mathcal{RL}$ is the limit of a sequence of formulas from $\mathcal{QL}$.

At algebraic level, we focus on the *finitely presented algebras*, which play a central rôle in logic, being quotients of finitely generated free objects. In Section 4 we explored the connections between finitely presented MV-algebras, DMV-algebra and Riesz MV-algebras using the MV-algebraic tensor product, defined in [40] and further studied in [30]. Our main result, displayed in Proposition 4.2, characterizes those subcategories of finitely presented DMV-algebra and Riesz MV-algebras that are equivalent to finitely presented MV-algebras. The same problem is studied in Section 5 using categories of presentations, an approach inspired by the general framework of institutions [16].

In the last two sections we tackle the finitely presented structures from geometric perspective. Recall that finitely presented MV-algebras are dual objects to *rational polyhedra* [36]. This result was generalized to Riesz MV-algebras [22] and DMV-algebras [31]. Consequently, the category of finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras is dually equivalent to an appropriate category of polyhedra [22]. All the above mentioned dualities follow a similar approach, inspired by the Baker-Beynon duality [1, 2, 3].

In [5] the duality between finitely presented MV-algebras and rational polyhedra is generalized for the class of *polyhedral MV-algebras*, that are defined as finitely generated subalgebras of a semisimple tensor product between a simple and a finitely presented MV-algebra. In Section 6 we note that polyhedral MV-algebras can be equivalently defined as finitely generated sub-MV-algebras of finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras. We further define the *polyhedral DMV-algebras* and the *polyhedral Riesz MV-algebras* and we characterize them as hulls of polyhedral MV-algebras. Moreover, the tensor functors and the hom-functors are used to connect the categories of polyhedra and the categories of polyhedral structures.

In Section 7, the last section of the paper, we characterize the finitely presented and projective Riesz MV-algebra, complementing the literature on the subject. Finally, Figure 4 gives a complete picture of the geometrical dualities for finitely presented DMV-algebras and finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras.

1 Preliminaries

1.1 MV-algebras, Riesz MV-algebras and DMV-algebras

MV-algebras are the algebraic counterpart of Łukasiewicz propositional calculus. They are structures $(A, \oplus, *, 0)$ of type $(2, 1, 0)$ such that, for any $x, y \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} (A, \oplus, 0) \text{ is an Abelian monoid, } (x^*)^* &= x \\ (x^* \oplus y)^* \oplus y &= (y^* \oplus x)^* \oplus x, \quad 0^* \oplus x = 0^*. \end{aligned}$$

Further operations are defined as follows: 1 is 0^* , the Łukasiewicz implication is $x \rightarrow y = x^* \oplus y$ and the Łukasiewicz conjunction is $x \odot y = (x^* \oplus y^*)^*$ for any $x, y \in A$. If $x \vee y = x \oplus (y \odot x^*)$ and $x \wedge y = (x^* \vee y^*)^*$ then $(A, \vee, \wedge, 1, 0)$ is a bounded distributive lattice. The variety of MV-algebras is generated by the standard structure

$$[0, 1]_{MV} = ([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0),$$

where $[0, 1]$ is the real unit interval, $x^* = 1 - x$ and $x \oplus y = \min(1, x + y)$ for any $x, y \in [0, 1]$. We urge the interested reader to consult [15, 20] for a basic

introduction to MV-algebras and [41] for advanced topics.

A fruitful research direction has arisen from the idea of endowing MV-algebras with a product operation. In particular, when we consider a scalar multiplication, we obtain the notion of *Riesz MV-algebras*.

A *Riesz MV-algebra* [21] is a structure

$$(R, \oplus, *, 0, \{r \mid r \in [0, 1]\})$$

such that $(R, \oplus, *, 0)$ is an MV-algebra and $\{r \mid r \in [0, 1]\}$ is a family of unary operation such that the following properties hold for any $x, y \in A$ and $r, q \in [0, 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} r(x \odot y^*) &= (rx) \odot (ry)^*, & (r \odot q^*) \cdot x &= (rx) \odot (qx)^*, \\ r(qx) &= (rq)x, & 1x &= x. \end{aligned}$$

The variety of Riesz MV-algebras is generated by

$$[0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}} = ([0, 1], \oplus, *, \{\alpha \mid \alpha \in [0, 1]\}, 0),$$

where $([0, 1], \oplus, *, 0)$ is the standard MV-algebra and $x \mapsto \alpha x$ is a unary operation for any $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

Finally, we consider the class of *DMV-algebras*, which is an intermediate class between MV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras. By [31, Corollary 2.1], they can be seen as MV-algebras endowed with a scalar multiplication with scalars taken in $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}} = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. In this case the standard model is $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}} = ([0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}}, \oplus, *, \{q\}_{q \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}}})$, where $x \mapsto qx$ is a unary operation for any $q \in [0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and it generates the variety of DMV-algebras. Endowed with suitable morphism, DMV-algebras are a category that we shall denote by **DMV**.

An *ideal* for an MV-algebra is a downward closed set, which is also closed with respect to the sum $\oplus$. Ideals in a Riesz MV-algebra (or a DMV-algebra) A coincide with ideals of its MV-algebra reduct. We recall that a *principal* ideal is an ideal generated by one element of the algebra.

Semisimple MV-algebras, which play a central role in this paper, are sub-direct products of MV-subalgebras of $[0, 1]$. A DMV-algebra or a Riesz MV-algebra is semisimple if its MV-algebra reduct is semisimple.

1.2 Free algebras and finitely presented algebras.

In [37, 21, 26] the free MV-algebra, free DMV-algebra and free Riesz MV-algebra are defined as algebras of $[0, 1]$ -valued functions. In the sequel, MV_X , DMV_X and RMV_X denote the free MV-algebra, DMV-algebra and Riesz MV-algebra generated by X respectively.

A *unital* piecewise linear function (PWL_u-function) with real (or integer, or rational) coefficient is a continuous function $f : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that there exist $f_1, \dots, f_m : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $f_i = c_{i1}x_1 + \dots + c_{in}x_n + b_i$ with $c_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ (or $c_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, or $c_{ij}, b_i \in \mathbb{Q}$) and for any $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in [0, 1]^n$, there exists i such that $f(\mathbf{x}) = f_i(\mathbf{x})$.

By [37, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2], MV_n is the MV-algebra of PWL_u-functions in n variables and integer coefficients; by [21, Corollary 7], RMV_n is the Riesz MV-algebra of PWL_u-functions in n variables and real coefficients; by [26, Theorem 4.5], DMV_n is the DMV-algebra of PWL_u-functions in n variables and rational coefficients.

Finally, we recall that an MV-algebra (Riesz MV-algebra, DMV-algebra) is *finitely generated* if it is generated by a finite set of elements, while it is *finitely presented* if it is the quotient of a free finitely generated MV-algebra (Riesz MV-algebra, DMV-algebra) by a finitely generated ideal. It is easy to see that for MV-algebras, Riesz MV-algebras and DMV-algebras the notions of finitely generated ideal and principal ideal coincide. Moreover, free algebras and finitely presented algebras are semisimple.

1.3 Connections with lattice-ordered groups

A fundamental result in the theory of MV-algebras is their categorical equivalence with Abelian lattice-ordered groups with a strong unit, ℓu -groups in short. Such groups have compatible group and lattice structure, and a distinguished Archimedean element, called *strong unit*. For more details of these structure, we refer to [4]. Endowed with suitable morphisms, ℓu -groups form a category, denoted by $\mathbf{auG}$. The functor that gives the categorical equivalence is $\Gamma : \mathbf{auG} \rightarrow \mathbf{MV}$. It is defined on objects by $\Gamma(G, u) = [0, u]$ and it establishes the categorical equivalence between MV-algebras and ℓu -groups [38]. Similar results are obtained for Riesz MV-algebras and Riesz spaces with strong unit (in this case the functor is denoted by $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$) and DMV-algebras and divisible ℓu -groups. Moreover, in [31], the authors prove that DMV-algebras are categorical equivalent – via the functor $\Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}}$ – with $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector lattices with a strong unit, that is lattice-ordered linear spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbf{uRS} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{uQVL} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{auG} \\
\downarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}} & & \downarrow \Gamma_{\mathbb{Q}} & & \downarrow \Gamma \\
\mathbf{RMV} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{DMV} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{MV}
\end{array}$$

Figure 1. Categorical setting.

All functors denoted by $\mathcal{U}$ in Figure 1 are suitable forgetful functors.

2 The logic of Riesz MV-algebras

In this section we complement the results proved in [21] on the logic $\mathcal{RL}$, that has Riesz MV-algebras as models. The system $\mathcal{RL}$ has uncountable syntax, but we prove that the syntactic compactness theorem still holds. We further define the *truth degree* and the *provability degree* of a formula in $\mathcal{RL}$ and we prove Pavelka-style completeness. The system allows us to define a syntactic notion of *limit*. Consequently, we express any formula of $\mathcal{RL}$ as a syntactic limit of formulas in $\mathcal{QL}$. Moreover, in Section 3 we show that the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of $\mathcal{RL}$ naturally becomes a metric space and we analyze its Cauchy completeness.

We recall that the logical system $\mathcal{RL}$, is obtained from Łukasiewicz logic by adding a connective ∇_r for any $r \in [0, 1]$. Hence the logical connectives are $\{\neg, \rightarrow\} \cup \{\nabla_r \mid r \in [0, 1]\}$ and the axioms are the following:

- (L1) $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$
- (L2) $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi))$
- (L3) $(\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \vee \varphi)$
- (L4) $(\neg\psi \rightarrow \neg\varphi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
- (R1) $\nabla_r(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \leftrightarrow (\nabla_r\varphi \rightarrow \nabla_r\psi)$
- (R2) $\nabla_{(r \odot q^*)}\varphi \leftrightarrow (\nabla_q\varphi \rightarrow \nabla_r\varphi)$
- (R3) $\nabla_r(\nabla_q\varphi) \leftrightarrow \nabla_{r \cdot q}\varphi$
- (R4) $\nabla_1\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi$,

The only deduction rule is *Modus Ponens*. Note that “from φ , we prove $\nabla_r\varphi$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$ ” is a derivative rule.

The set of formulas is denoted $Form_{\mathcal{RL}}$. For any $r \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi \in Form_{\mathcal{RL}}$ we set

$$\Delta_r\varphi = \neg\nabla_r\neg\varphi.$$

We recall that Axioms (L1)-(L4) are the axioms of Łukasiewicz logic $\mathbb{L}$. If we only consider $\{\nabla_q \mid q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}\}$, we obtain the system $\mathcal{QL}$ that has DMV-algebras as models [26, 31]. We refer to [21] and [26, 31] for a more detailed account on the logics $\mathcal{RL}$ and $\mathcal{QL}$ respectively.

Note that both $\mathcal{RL}$ and $\mathcal{QL}$ are conservative extensions of $\mathbb{L}$.

Remark 2.1. (Completeness results) Łukasiewicz logic $\mathbb{L}$ is complete with respect to its standard model $[0, 1]_{MV}$ [14], the logic $\mathcal{RL}$ is complete with respect to $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{R}}$ [21] and $\mathcal{QL}$ is complete with respect to $[0, 1]_{\mathbb{Q}}$ [26].

For any formula φ with n variables we define the corresponding function $f_\varphi : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ in the usual manner. Hence f_φ is a PWL_u -function with integer coefficients if φ is a formula of $\mathbb{L}$, f_φ is a PWL_u -function with rational coefficients if φ is a formula of $\mathcal{QL}$ and f_φ is a PWL_u -function with real coefficients if φ is a formula of $\mathcal{RL}$.

The Lindenbaum-Tarski algebras for $\mathbb{L}$, $\mathcal{QL}$ and $\mathcal{RL}$ are denoted by L , QL and RL , respectively. If $[\varphi]$ is the equivalence class of a formula φ then, in the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebras QL and RL , we define $r[\varphi] = [\Delta_r \varphi]$ for any $r \in [0, 1]$. When only n variables are considered, the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebras L_n , QL_n and RL_n are isomorphic to MV_n , DMV_n and RMV_n , respectively.

2.1 Compactness

We recall that a set of formulas $\Theta \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$ is *consistent* if there exists a formula φ such that $\Theta \not\vdash \varphi$. It is easily seen that, if Θ is inconsistent, then $\{\varphi \mid \Theta \vdash \varphi\} = \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$. Moreover, Θ is inconsistent if and only if $\Theta \vdash \varphi$ and $\Theta \vdash \neg\varphi$, for some formula φ . This is equivalent to say that, for some φ , $\Theta \vdash \varphi \odot \neg\varphi$.

Lemma 2.1. *Any consistent set of formulas is included in a maximal one.*

Proof. Let Θ be consistent, and let $\mathcal{T}$ be the following

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\Gamma \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}} \mid \Gamma \text{ is consistent and } \Theta \subseteq \Gamma\}$$

With standard arguments, one can prove that any chain in $\mathcal{T}$ has an upper bound, given by the union of its elements. Hence, Zorn's Lemma entails that $\mathcal{T}$ has a maximal element. $\square$

Proposition 2.1. *For a nonempty set of formulas Θ the following properties are equivalent:*

- (i) Θ is consistent,
- (ii) there is an evaluation $e : \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $e(\Theta) = \{1\}$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) By Lemma 2.1, we can extend Θ to a maximal consistent theory Γ . Denoted by $\equiv_\Gamma$ the relation defined by

$$\varphi \equiv_\Gamma \psi \text{ if and only if } \Gamma \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \varphi,$$

we obtain a congruence relation and $Form_{\mathcal{RL}} / \equiv_\Gamma$ is a simple Riesz MV-algebra. This entails $Form_{\mathcal{RL}} / \equiv_\Gamma \simeq [0, 1]$, which is the only simple Riesz MV-algebra.

Thus, there is an injective homomorphism $f : Form_{\mathcal{RL}} / \equiv_\Gamma \rightarrow [0, 1]$. We define $e : Form_{\mathcal{RL}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $e(\varphi) = f([\varphi]_\Gamma)$. If $\varphi \in \Theta \subseteq \Gamma$, then $[\varphi]_\Gamma = 1$, so $e(\varphi) = 1$. Hence, e is the desired evaluation.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let e be an evaluation such that $e(\Theta) = \{1\}$. If Θ is inconsistent then there is a formula φ such that $\Theta \vdash \varphi$ and $\Theta \vdash \neg\varphi$. Hence, $e(\varphi) = e(\neg\varphi) = 1$, so $0 = 1$ in $[0, 1]$, which is a contradiction. It follows that Θ is a consistent set of formulas. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *If Θ is a set of formulas such that for any finite $\Gamma \subseteq \Theta$ there exists a $[0, 1]$ -valuation e_Γ such that $e_\Gamma(\Gamma) = \{1\}$, then there exists a $[0, 1]$ -evaluation e such that $e(\Theta) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.1, every finite subset of Θ is consistent. Suppose now that for any evaluation e we have $e(\Theta) \neq \{1\}$. Again by Proposition 2.1, Θ is inconsistent. This means that, for some formula φ , $\Theta \vdash \varphi \odot \neg\varphi$. The proof of $\varphi \odot \neg\varphi$ from Θ is finite, hence it makes use of a finite number of premises, Δ . This means that there exists a finite $\Delta \subseteq \Theta$ which is inconsistent, a contradiction. $\square$

2.2 Truth degree and provability degree

In this section we define the *truth degree* and the *provability degree* of a formula, inspired by similar results in [28]. We also note that our system can be studied in the general framework developed in [42].

Let η is a fixed theorem of $\mathcal{RL}$. For any $r \in [0, 1]$ we denote by η_r the formula $\Delta_r \eta$.

Remark 2.2. By [21], in $\mathcal{RL}$ we get $[\eta_r] = [\Delta_r \eta] = r[\eta] = r\mathbf{1}$.

Proposition 2.3. *The following properties hold for any $r, q \in [0, 1]$:*

- (a) $\vdash \neg\eta_r \leftrightarrow \eta_{r^*}$,
- (b) $\vdash (\eta_r \rightarrow \eta_q) \leftrightarrow \eta_{r \rightarrow q}$,
- (c) $\vdash \Delta_r \eta_q \leftrightarrow \eta_{rq}$,
- (d) $e(\Delta_r \varphi) = re(\varphi)$ where φ is a formula and e is an arbitrary evaluation,
- (e) $e(\eta_r) = r$, where e is an arbitrary $[0, 1]$ -evaluation,
- (f) $r \leq q$ iff $\vdash \eta_r \rightarrow \eta_q$

Proof. It is straightforward by completeness of $\mathcal{RL}$. $\square$

Remark 2.3. When r and q are chosen in $[0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$, (a) and (b) of Proposition 2.3 are the book-keeping axioms of Rational Pavelka logic [28, 3.3]. Theorem (c) from Proposition 2.3 can be also considered a book-keeping axiom, since it shows that the logical constants act naturally with respect to the product operation. Thus, we can think of $\mathcal{RL}$ as an axiomatic extension of a Pavelka propositional calculus.

Definition 2.1. Let φ be an arbitrary formula of $\mathcal{RL}$, we define:

- (1) the *truth degree* of φ , by
$$\|\varphi\| = \min\{e(\varphi) \mid e \text{ is a } [0, 1]\text{-evaluation}\},$$
- (2) the *provability degree* of φ , by
$$|\varphi| = \max\{r \in [0, 1] \mid \vdash \eta_r \rightarrow \varphi\}.$$

Note that, in general, provability degree and truth degree are defined by infimum and supremum. In this case they are indeed maximum and minimum. The following result might be inferred from general results from [42], but we chose to provide a simpler proof.

Proposition 2.4 (Pavelka completeness). *If φ is an formula of $\mathcal{RL}$, then*

$$|\varphi| = \|\varphi\|.$$

Proof. We recall that if φ is a formula in the variables $v_1, \dots, v_n$, there exists a continuous PWL_u -function with real coefficients such that, for any evaluation e , $e(\varphi) = f_\varphi(e(v_1), \dots, e(v_n))$. Since f_φ is a continuous function over a compact set, Stone-Weierstrass theorem ensures the existence of the minimum value. This entails the existence of a minimal truth-value $e_m(\varphi)$, and $|\varphi|$ is indeed a minimum.

On the other side, by completeness of $\mathcal{RL}$, for any r such that $\vdash \eta_r \rightarrow \varphi$, we have $r \leq e(\varphi)$ for any evaluation e . In particular we have $r \leq e_m(\varphi)$. Being e_m the minimal evaluation, $e_m(\varphi) \leq e(\varphi)$ for any other evaluation e , that is $\vdash \eta_{e_m(\varphi)} \rightarrow \varphi$ by completeness, and $\|\varphi\|$ is a maximum. $\square$

Finally, let η and τ be theorems of $\mathcal{RL}$. Then, for any $\Theta \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$ and for any $r \in [0, 1]$, the following hold:

- (1) $\vdash \Delta_r \eta \leftrightarrow \Delta_r \tau$,
- (2) $\Theta \vdash \Delta_r \eta \rightarrow \varphi$ if and only if $\Theta \vdash \Delta_r \tau \rightarrow \varphi$.

This means that the choice of the theorem η in the beginning of our Pavelka approach does not affect the truth degree and the provability degree of a formula.

2.3 A logical approach to limits

We already noticed that, for any formula φ of $\mathcal{RL}$, the function $f_\varphi : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a continuous piecewise linear function with real coefficients. In the sequel we express the uniform convergence of functions as a syntactic notion in $\mathcal{RL}$.

We firstly prove the following.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be a compact topological space, $f_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence such that there exists a decreasing sequence $(g_n)_n$ of continuous functions such that $\bigwedge g_n = 0$ (where $\bigwedge$ denotes the pointwise infimum) and $|f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq g_n(x)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in A$. Then $(f_n)_n$ uniformly converges to f .*

Proof. Since $(g_n)_n$ is decreasing, the g_n 's are continuous, the infimum function 0 is continuous. Being A compact, by the Dini theorem the sequence $(g_n)_n$ converges uniformly to zero. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq g_n(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq k$ and $x \in A$. By hypothesis, from this it follows that $0 \leq |f(x) - f_n(x)| \leq g_n(x) \leq \varepsilon$ for every $n \geq k$ and $x \in A$ and the claim is settled. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(\varphi_n)_n \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$ and $\varphi \in \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $(f_{\varphi_n})_n$ uniformly converges to f_φ ,
- (ii) for any $r \in [0, 1]$ there exists k such that $\vdash \eta_r \rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi_n)$ for any $n \geq k$,
- (iii) there is a decreasing sequence $([\psi_n])_n$ in $\mathcal{RL}$ such that $\bigwedge [\psi_n] = 0$ and $d([\varphi_n], [\varphi]) \leq [\psi_n]$ for any n . (iv) there exists an increasing sequence $(r_n)_n$ in $[0, 1]$ such that $\bigvee_n r_n = 1$ and $\vdash \eta_{r_n} \rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi_n)$ for any n .

Proof. (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) Using the correspondence between functions and formulas, the condition $\vdash \eta_r \rightarrow (\varphi \leftrightarrow \varphi_n)$ becomes $|f_{\varphi_n} - f_\varphi| \leq f_{\eta_r^*}$, which means $|f_{\varphi_n} - f_\varphi| \leq 1 - r$. The equivalence is obvious.

(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) Note that (iii) is the notion of order-convergence in MV-algebras, which corresponds with order-convergence in lattice-ordered groups [25]. In one direction the result follows from the fact that uniform convergence implies order convergence (see for example [33, Theorem 16.2]). In the other direction, we have that $\bigwedge_n f_{\psi_n} = 0$ and $|f_\varphi(x) - f_{\varphi_n}(x)| = d(f_\varphi, f_{\varphi_n})(x) \leq f_{\psi_n}(x)$ for any x . The result follows by Lemma 2.2.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Choosing $\psi_n = \eta_{r_n^*}$ the claim is settled.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv) Let s_n be $\sup\{f_{\psi_n}(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in \text{supp}(f_{\psi_n})\}$. By Dini's Theorem (ψ_n) uniformly converges to $\mathbf{0}$, and since the uniform convergence implies the order convergence, $\bigwedge s_n = 0$ and $f_{d(\varphi_n, \varphi)} \leq f_{\psi_n} \leq s_n$. If we take $r_n = s_n^*$ then $\bigvee r_n = 1$ and $r_n \leq f_{(\varphi_n \leftrightarrow \varphi)}$, and the conclusion follows. $\square$

As already mentioned, condition (iii) from the above proposition states the order-convergence in the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra, while condition (ii) is a direct translation of the uniform convergence of the corresponding function. Theorem 2.1 states that in the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of $\mathcal{RL}$ the order-convergence and the uniform convergence coincide.

Definition 2.2. If $(\varphi_n)_n \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$ and $\varphi \in \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$, then φ is the *limit* of the sequence $(\varphi_n)_n$, in symbols $\varphi = \lim_n \varphi_n$, if the equivalent conditions from Proposition 2.1 hold.

2.4 From Rational Łukasiewicz logic to $\mathcal{RL}$ via limits

We recall that a formula φ of $\mathcal{RL}$ is a formula of $\mathcal{QL}$ if contains only symbols ∇_q with $q \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$.

Definition 2.3. A *rational formula* is a formula $\alpha \in \text{Form}_{\mathcal{QL}}$.

Definition 2.4. Following [19], we say that a *simple formula* in $\mathcal{RL}$ (resp. in $\mathcal{QL}$) is a formula whose associated function is $f \vee 0 \wedge 1$, where f is an affine linear function with real coefficients (resp. with rational coefficients). In [19, Theorem 43] the authors provide a syntactic way to describe simple formulas of Rational Łukasiewicz logic.

By [43, Theorem 2.1] any formula φ is equivalent with a formula in normal form, $\bigvee \bigwedge_{ij} \varphi_{ij}$, with $\{\varphi_{ij}\}$ finite set of simple formulas.

Theorem 2.2. *Any formula of $\mathcal{RL}$ is the limit of a sequence of rational formulas.*

Proof. Using the normal form representation, it is enough to prove the statement for simple formulas. In this case, the result follows by the fact that each polynomial can be uniformly approximated by polynomials with rational coefficients. $\square$

3 Connections with functional analysis

Since Riesz spaces play a central rôle in functional analysis, the theory of Riesz MV-algebras was connected with C^* -algebras, M -spaces and L -spaces. In particular, the analogues of Kakutani's representation theorems are proved for Riesz MV-algebras in [21, 32]. In the sequel, we recall these results and we use them in order to characterize the norm-completions of the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of $\mathcal{RL}$.

A *normed Riesz space* is a Riesz space V equipped with a norm ρ such that $|x| \leq |y|$ in V implies $\rho(x) \leq \rho(y)$. An *M -space* is a norm-complete Riesz Space (V, ρ) with a $\vee$ -preserving norm, i.e. $\rho(x \vee y) = \max(\rho(x), \rho(y))$ for any $x, y \in V$.

Remark 3.1. [21] In any Riesz MV-algebra R it is possible to define the *unit seminorm* $\|\cdot\|_u : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\|x\|_u = \inf\{r \in [0, 1] \mid x \leq r1\}$ for any $x \in R$. Consequently, if $\rho_{\|\cdot\|_u}(x, y) = \|d(x, y)\|_u$ for any $x, y \in R$, then $\rho_{\|\cdot\|_u}$ is a pseudometric on R . We say that a Riesz MV-algebra R is *norm-complete* if $(R, \rho_{\|\cdot\|_u})$ is a complete metric space. For any norm-complete Riesz MV-algebra R there is a compact Hausdorff space X such that R is isometrically isomorphic with $C(X)$, where $X = \text{Max}(R)$, $C(X) = \{f : X \rightarrow [0, 1] \mid f \text{ continuous}\}$ and $\|f\|_u = \sup\{f(x) \mid x \in X\}$.

Theorem 3.1. [21] *The following categories are equivalent:*

- (i) *the category of norm-complete Riesz MV-algebras with homomorphisms of Riesz MV-algebras;*
- (ii) *the category of M -spaces with unit-preserving morphisms;*
- (iii) *the dual of the category of compact Hausdorff spaces with continuous maps;*
- (iv) *the category of commutative unital C^* -algebras with homomorphisms of unital C^* -algebras.*

Remark 3.2. States on MV-algebras [39] are generalizations of finitely additive probability measures on boolean algebras. Formally, a *state* of an MV-algebra A is a map $s : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ satisfying the following conditions

- (1) $s(1) = 1$,
- (2) for all $x, y \in A$ such that $x \odot y = 0$, $s(x \oplus y) = s(x) + s(y)$.

A state of A is said to be *faithful* if $s(x) = 0$, implies $x = 0$. If R is a Riesz MV-algebra, $s : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a state of R if it is a state of its MV-algebra reduct. Moreover, (R, s) is a normed space. If we define $\rho_s(x, y) = s(d(x, y))$ for any $x, y \in R$, then ρ_s is a pseudometric on R . We say that (R, s) is *state-complete* if (R, ρ_s) is a complete metric space.

3.1 Completions of the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra

In the following we analyse the norm completions of the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra RL_n , where $n \geq 1$.

Definition 3.1. For any $[\varphi]$ in the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra RL_n we define:

$$(UN) \quad \|\varphi\|_u = \sup\{f_\varphi(\mathbf{x}) \mid \mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n\},$$

$$(IN) \quad I([\varphi]) = \int f_\varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

where $f_\varphi : [0, 1]^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the piecewise linear function associated to φ .

One can easily see that $\|\varphi\|_u$ from (UN) is the unit seminorm on RL_n which, in this case, is actually a norm. From [39, Theorem 3.4], we infer that I is a faithful state on RL_n which has rational values on rational formulas. As consequence, $(RL_n, \|\cdot\|_u)$ and (RL_n, I) are normed spaces.

Proposition 3.1. Assume $(\varphi_n)_n \subseteq \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$ and $\varphi \in \text{Form}_{\mathcal{RL}}$. Then:

- (a) $\lim_n \varphi_n = \varphi$ iff $\lim_n \|[d(\varphi_n, \varphi)]\|_u = 0$,
- (b) if $\lim_n \varphi_n = \varphi$ then $\lim_n I(\varphi_n) = I(\varphi)$
- (c) $\vdash \neg\varphi$ iff $I(f_\varphi) = 0$.

Proof. (a) and (b) are straightforward from the fact that our domain is $[0, 1]^n$ and the convergence of $(f_n)_n$ to f is uniform. See for example [24, Section 123].

(c) On one hand, we have that $\vdash \neg\varphi$ implies $e(\varphi) = 0$ for any evaluation, which entails $f_\varphi = \mathbf{0}$ and $I(f_\varphi) = 0$. On other hand, being f_φ a non-negative and continuous function, $\int f_\varphi(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0$ implies $f_\varphi = \mathbf{0}$. Since $e(\varphi) = f_\varphi(e(v_1), \dots, e(v_n))$, we get $e(\varphi) = 0$ for any evaluation, which is equivalent to $\vdash \neg\varphi$ by completeness of the logic. $\square$

In the following we characterize the Cauchy completions of the normed spaces $(RL_n, \|\cdot\|_u)$ and (RL_n, I) .

Theorem 3.2. The norm-completion of the normed space $(RL_n, \|\cdot\|_u)$ is isometrically isomorphic with $(C([0, 1]^n), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$.

Proof. The maximal ideal space $\text{Max}(RL_n)$ coincide with the maximal ideal space of its MV-algebra reduct so, by [15, Theorem 3.4.3], it is homeomorphic with $[0, 1]^n$. We now use the fact that RL_n is dense in $C([0, 1]^n)$ by [34, Lemma 8.1]. $\square$

Remark 3.3. If MV_n is the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebra of Łukasiewicz logic, then (MV_n, I) is a normed space. Let μ be the Lebesgue measure associated to I , assume $L^1(\mu)_u$ is the algebra of $[0, 1]$ -valued integrable functions on $[0, 1]^n$ and define $s_\mu(\hat{f}) = I(f)$ for any $f \in L^1(\mu)_u$ – note that $\hat{f}$ is the class of f ,

provided we identify two functions that are equal μ -almost everywhere. Then $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$ is the Cauchy completion of (MV_n, I) by [41, Theorem 16.7], where the author mention that $L^1(\mu)_u$ is equipped with a richer structure.

Theorem 3.3. *The normed space $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$ from Remark 3.3 is a state-complete Riesz MV-algebra. Moreover, $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$ is, up to isomorphism, the norm-completion of the normed space (RL_n, I)*

Proof. By [32, Theorem 4], $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$ is a norm-complete Riesz MV-algebra. We can safely identify MV_n with an MV-subalgebra of RL_n and we note that (MV_n, I) is a normed subspace of (RL_n, I) . By [41, Theorem 16.7], $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$ from Remark 3.3 is the Cauchy completion of (MV_n, I) . Since f_φ is an integrable function for any formula φ of $\mathcal{RL}$, we infer that the Cauchy completion of (MV_n, I) coincide with the Cauchy completion of (RL_n, I) . $\square$

3.2 More on state-complete Riesz MV-algebras

Assume (R, s) is state-complete Riesz MV-algebra. Recall that a *closed ideal* of R is an ideal I that is closed to all the existing suprema of elements from I . The set $ClId(R)$ of all closed ideals of R is a Dedekind-MacNeille complete Boolean algebra [32, Proposition 2]. By [32, Theorem 4], if $X = Spec(ClId(R))$ then (R, s) is isometrically isomorphic with $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$, where (X, Ω, μ) is a suitable measure space, $L^1(\mu)_u = \{f \in L^1(\mu) \mid \mathbf{0} \leq f \leq \mathbf{1}\}$ and $s_\mu(\hat{f}) = \int_X f d\mu$.

For a Riesz MV-algebra R , denote $B(R) = \{x \in R \mid x \oplus x = x\}$ the boolean center of R and $Max(R)$ the space of the maximal ideals endowed with the hull-kernel topology.

Note that the maximal ideal space of any MV-algebra is a compact Hausdorff space [15]. Consequently, $Max(R)$ is a compact Hausdorff space for any Riesz MV-algebra [21].

Theorem 3.4. *If (R, s) is a state-complete Riesz MV-algebra, then $Max(R)$, $Spec(B(R))$ and $Spec(ClId(R))$ are homeomorphic topological spaces.*

Proof. Since R is an interval in an L -space and L -spaces are known to be Dedekind complete, it follows that R is Dedekind complete. Denote $U(R)$ the MV-algebra reduct of R . The MV-algebra $U(R)$ is divisible and Dedekind complete so $Spec(B(R))$ and $Max(R)$ are homeomorphic by [27, Proposition 3.19]. Since R is Dedekind complete, $\bigvee I \in I \cap B(R)$ for any $I \in ClId(R)$. The map $h : ClId(R) \rightarrow B(R)$ defined by $h(I) = \bigvee I$ is an isomorphism of Boolean algebras. Note that, for an ideal $I \in ClId(R)$ the negation is $\bar{I} = I^\perp = \{x \in R \mid x \wedge y = 0 \text{ for any } y \in I\}$. One can easily see that $h(\bar{I}) = \overline{h(I)}$

and $h(I \cap J) = h(I) \wedge h(J)$. Consequently, $\text{Spec}(B(R))$ and $\text{Spec}(CId(R))$ are homeomorphic. $\square$

If A is any semisimple MV-algebra and $s : A \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a state on A then, using the MV-algebraic version of Riesz Representation Theorem [29, 44], there exists a measure space (X, Ω, μ) such that $X = \text{Max}(A)$ and $s(a) = \int_X \hat{a} d\mu$, where $a \mapsto \hat{a}$ is the embedding of A in $C(X)$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let (R, s) be a state-complete MV-algebra, $X = \text{Max}(A)$ and (X, Ω, μ) defined as above. Then (R, s) is isometrically isomorphic with $(L^1(\mu)_u, s_\mu)$.*

Proof. It follows from [32, Theorem 4] and Theorem 3.4. $\square$

3.3 Maeda-Ogasawara Theorem for Riesz MV-algebras

Another direct consequence is the correspondent of the Maeda-Ogasawara representation theorem for Riesz spaces.

Proposition 3.2. *For any semisimple Riesz MV-algebra R there exists a Stonean space X such that R is isomorphic with a subalgebra of $C(X)$.*

Proof. Assume R is a semisimple Riesz MV-algebra. By [39] there exists a faithful state $s : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Let (R^c, s^c) be the state-completion of (R, s) and $X = \text{Max}(R^c)$. Hence R is a subalgebra of R^c , which is isomorphic with a subalgebra of $C(X)$ and X is Stonean by Theorem 3.4. $\square$

4 Finitely presented algebras and tensor product

In this section we use the tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras [40] in order to investigate the connections between the finitely presented MV-algebras, DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras.

4.1 The semisimple tensor product

In the sequel we denote by $\mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$, $\mathbf{DMV}_{\text{ss}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}}$ the full subcategories of semisimple algebras. They play a particularly important rôle the algebraic development of Łukasiewicz logic, due to the fact that the free algebras and the finitely presented algebras are semisimple.

Obviously, we have the following forgetful functors:

$$\mathbf{RMV}_{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{DMV}_{\text{ss}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} \mathbf{MV}_{\text{ss}}$$

The tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras, defined by Mundici [40], allows us to complete the above diagram with adjoint functors. After presenting few significant facts, in this section we investigate the tensor product in connection with finitely presented algebras.

Let $\otimes$ be the tensor product of semisimple MV-algebras. In [30] is proved that $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_\otimes)$ is an adjoint pair, where $\mathcal{U}_\mathbb{R} : \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ is the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{T}_\otimes : \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ is defined on objects by $\mathcal{T}_\otimes(A) = [0, 1] \otimes A$ and on morphisms through a suitable universal property. The analogous result is proved in [31] for DMV-algebras but, in this case, the left adjoint functor $\mathcal{D}_\otimes : \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ is defined by $\mathcal{D}_\otimes(A) = ([0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}) \otimes A$.

With the above notations, define $\mathcal{T}_\otimes^\mathbb{Q} : \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_\otimes^\mathbb{Q}(A) = \mathcal{T}_\otimes(\mathcal{U}(A)) \text{ for any } A \text{ in } \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{ss}}.$$

We have the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \mathcal{T}_\otimes^\mathbb{Q} & & \mathcal{D}_\otimes & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{ss}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{ss}} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{U}} & \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{ss}} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright & \\ & \mathcal{T}_\otimes & & & \end{array}$$

Figure 2. Connections using the tensor product.

Lemma 4.1. *The functors $\mathcal{T}_\otimes^\mathbb{Q} \circ \mathcal{D}_\otimes$ and $\mathcal{T}_\otimes$ are naturally isomorphic.*

Proof. It follows from the remark that they are adjoints to the same forgetful functor. $\square$

We end the introductory part with a new property of the semisimple tensor product, which will be used in a subsequent result.

Proposition 4.1. *If $n \geq 1$ and $A_1, \dots, A_n$ are semisimple MV-algebras, then*

$$[0, 1] \otimes \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \simeq \prod_{i=1}^n ([0, 1] \otimes A_i).$$

Proof. Let $f : [0, 1] \otimes \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n ([0, 1] \otimes A_i)$ and $g : \prod_{i=1}^n ([0, 1] \otimes A_i) \rightarrow [0, 1] \otimes \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$ be the unique morphisms such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(r \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n)) &= (r \otimes a_1, \dots, r \otimes a_n), \\ g(r \otimes a_1, \dots, r \otimes a_n) &= (r \otimes (a_1, 0, \dots, 0)) \oplus \dots \oplus (r \otimes (0, \dots, 0, a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

for any $r \in [0, 1]$ and $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$. One can easily prove that f and g establishes the desired isomorphism. $\square$

4.2 The tensor product preserves finitely presented structures

This section explores the behaviour of the tensor functors $\mathcal{D}_\otimes$ and $\mathcal{T}_\otimes$ with respect to finitely presented algebras.

We start with the simple result that an homomorphism of MV-algebras preserves the principal ideals.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $I = (a]$ be a principal ideal in an MV-algebra A . For any homomorphism of MV-algebras $f : A \rightarrow B$, $(f(I)) = (f(a))$.*

Proof. Let $x \in (f(I))$. There exist $a_1, \dots, a_m \in I$ and $n_1, \dots, n_m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x \leq n_1 f(a_1) \oplus \dots \oplus n_m f(a_m) = f(n_1 a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_m a_m)$. Since $n_1 a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_m a_m \in I$, there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n_1 a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus n_m a_m \leq ka$, then $x \leq kf(a)$, i.e. $x \in (f(a))$. Conversely, $f(a) \in f(I)$ implies $(f(a)) \subseteq (f(I))$. $\square$

Let P be either $[0, 1]$ or $[0, 1]_Q = [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $\iota_A : A \rightarrow P \otimes A$ be the embedding in the semisimple tensor product. We recall that by [30, Proposition 5.1], $[0, 1] \otimes MV_n \simeq RMV_n$ and by [31, Theorem 3.3], $[0, 1]_Q \otimes MV_n \simeq DMV_n$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A be a finitely presented MV-algebra, and let J be an ideal of MV_n such that $A \simeq MV_n/J$. Then*

$$P \otimes (MV_n/J) \simeq (P \otimes MV_n) / (\iota_A(J)).$$

Proof. In the sequel, we will denote the embedding $\iota_A : A \hookrightarrow P \otimes A$ with ι .

We define the map β_1

$$\beta_1 : P \times MV_n/J \rightarrow (P \otimes MV_n) / (\iota(J)) \text{ by}$$

$\beta_1(\alpha, [f]_J) = [\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))}$. By definition of $\otimes$, β_1 is trivially a bimorphism. Moreover, it is well defined: for $h \in [f]_J$ we prove that $[\alpha \otimes h]_{(\iota(J))} = [\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))}$.

We have

$$\alpha \otimes h \in [\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))} \text{ iff } d(\alpha \otimes h, \alpha \otimes f) \in (\iota(J)) \text{ iff } d(\alpha \iota(h), \alpha \iota(f)) \in (\iota(J)).$$

Since $P \otimes MV_n$ is either a Riesz MV-algebra or a divisible MV-algebra, by [18, Lemma 3.19 and Proposition 3.10] we get

$$d(\alpha \iota(h), \alpha \iota(f)) = \alpha d(\iota(h), \iota(f)) = \alpha(\iota(d(h, f))).$$

By definition $h \in [f]$ implies $d(h, f) \in J$, therefore $\iota(d(h, f)) \in \iota(J) \subseteq (\iota(J))$ and trivially $d(\alpha \otimes h, \alpha \otimes f) = \alpha(\iota(d(h, f))) \in (\iota(J))$.

By universal property of the semisimple tensor product, there exists a homomorphism of MV-algebras λ

$$\lambda : P \otimes MV_n/J \rightarrow (P \otimes MV_n) / (\iota(J)) \text{ such that } \lambda(\alpha \otimes [f]_J) = [\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))}.$$

By [21, Corollary 2] (or [31, Lemma 3.1] in the case of DMV-algebras), λ is a

homomorphism of Riesz MV-algebras (or DMV-algebras).

On the other side, consider the bimorphism

$$\beta_2 : P \times MV_n \rightarrow P \otimes MV_n / J \text{ defined by } \beta_2(\alpha, f) = \alpha \otimes [f]_J.$$

By universal property there exists a map Ω

$$\Omega : P \otimes MV_n \rightarrow P \otimes MV_n / J \text{ such that } \Omega(\alpha \otimes f) = \alpha \otimes [f]_J.$$

By Lemma 4.2, $(\iota(J)) = (\iota(g)) = (1 \otimes g)$, therefore if $\mathbf{h} \in (1 \otimes g)$ there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{h} \leq n(1 \otimes g)$. Then $\Omega(\mathbf{h}) \leq n\Omega(1 \otimes g) = 1 \otimes [g]_J$; since $g \in J$ the latter equals to 0, therefore $\mathbf{h} \in \text{Ker}(\Omega)$ and $(\iota(J)) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\Omega)$. By the general homomorphism theorems – which hold for MV-algebras – there exists a map γ

$$\gamma : P \otimes MV_n / (\iota(J)) \rightarrow P \otimes MV_n / J \text{ such that } \gamma([\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))}) = \Omega(\alpha \otimes f) = \alpha \otimes [f]_J.$$

On generators we have

$$(\gamma \circ \lambda)(\alpha \otimes [f]_J) = \gamma([\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))}) = \alpha \otimes [f]_J = \mathbf{I}(\alpha \otimes [f]_J),$$

and by universal property $\gamma \circ \lambda = \mathbf{I}$. Denoted by π the canonical epimorphism

$$\pi : P \otimes MV_n \rightarrow P \otimes MV_n / (\iota(J)), \text{ we get}$$

$$(\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \pi)(\alpha \otimes f) = \lambda(\alpha \otimes [f]_J) = [\alpha \otimes f]_{(\iota(J))} = (\mathbf{I} \circ \pi)(\alpha \otimes f)$$

and again by universal property, $\lambda \circ \gamma \circ \pi = \mathbf{I} \circ \pi$. Being π is surjective, it follows that $\lambda \circ \gamma = \mathbf{I}$, and the claim is settled. $\square$

4.3 Categories of finitely presented structures

If A is a finitely presented MV-algebra, Theorem 4.1 ensures that $\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(A)$ is a finitely presented Riesz MV-algebra, and $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A)$ is a finitely presented DMV-algebra. We can then restrict the tensor functors to the full subcategories whose objects are finitely presented structures.

Remark 4.1. Let I be a principal ideal in DMV_n , generated by $a \in DMV_n \setminus MV_n$. By [17, Remark 2.4] and [31, Theorem 33] there exists a natural number k and $a_1, \dots, a_k \in MV_n$ such that $a = \frac{1}{k}a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{1}{k}a_k$. Let $b = a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_k$. Since $\frac{1}{k}a_i \leq a_i$, we have $a \leq b$ therefore the ideal generated by a is included in the ideal generated by b , in symbols $(a) \subseteq (b)$. For the other inclusion, $\delta_k(a_i) = \frac{1}{k}a_i \leq a$ for any i , therefore $a_i = k\delta_k(a_i) \in (a)$. Hence $b \in (a)$, and $(a) = (b)$.

This means that we can replace the generator of a principal ideal in DMV_n by an element of MV_n .

We denote $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\text{fp}}$ the restriction and co-restriction of $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}$ to full subcategories of finitely presented structures.

Theorem 4.2. *The functor $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\text{fp}} : \text{MV}_{\text{fp}} \rightarrow \text{DMV}_{\text{fp}}$ is faithful and essentially surjective.*

Proof. Let $D \in \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$. There exists n such that $A \simeq DMV_n/I$ where $I \subseteq DMV_n$ is a principal ideal. By Remark 4.1 there exists $b \in MV_n$ such that $I = (b]$. By Theorem 4.1, $DMV_n/(b]_{DMV} \simeq [0,1]_Q \otimes MV_n/(b]_{MV}$, hence $A \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}(MV_n/(b]_{MV})$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}$ is essentially surjective. Let $\sigma, \tau : A \rightarrow B$ arrows in $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}(\sigma) = \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}(\tau)$. In particular $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}(\sigma)(\iota_A(a)) = \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}(\tau)(\iota_A(a))$, and by definition of $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}}$ on arrows, $\iota_B(\sigma(a)) = \iota_B(\tau(a))$, for any $a \in A$. Being ι_B injective, we infer $\sigma = \tau$. $\square$

In order to get a similar result for finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras, more is needed since we are not able to prove the analogue of Remark 4.1. Moreover, by Proposition 6.1 there exists at least a principal ideal in RMV_n that is not generated by an element of MV_n . At this stage, we can only prove the following.

Lemma 4.3. *The functor $\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}^{\mathbf{fp}} : \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ is faithful.*

Proof. It follows by the general properties of the tensor functor, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

4.4 Equivalences for $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$

The previous result suggests that $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ is equivalent with a subcategory of $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ that has the same objects as $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$, but fewer morphisms. A similar remark holds if we consider $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ instead of $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$. In this section we define concrete subcategories of $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ that are equivalent to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$.

In the sequel we assume the notations of the previous subsection.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A, B be MV-algebras and $\iota_A : A \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A)$, $\iota_B : B \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(B)$ the canonical embeddings of the tensor product. For a morphism $f_{\otimes} : \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(B)$ the following are equivalent:*

- (a) $f_{\otimes}(\iota_A(A)) \subseteq \iota_B(B)$,
- (b) *there exists a unique morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f_{\otimes} \circ \iota_A = \iota_B \circ f$.*

Proof. For the nontrivial implication, we set $f(a) = b$ if $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that $f_{\otimes}(\iota_A(a)) = \iota_B(b)$. The uniqueness follows from the universal property of the tensor product. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{DMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ whose objects are algebras $D = \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A)$ where A is a finitely presented MV-algebra and whose morphisms are $g : \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(B)$ such that $g(\iota_A(A)) \subseteq \iota_B(B)$. Similarly, let $\mathbf{RMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ be the subcategory of $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ whose objects are algebras $R = \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(A)$ where A is

a finitely presented MV-algebra and whose morphisms are $g : \mathcal{T}_\otimes(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_\otimes(B)$ such that $g(\iota_A(A)) \subseteq \iota_B(B)$.

Proposition 4.2. *The categories $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$, $\mathbf{DMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. One can easily see that the co-restrictions of $\mathcal{D}_\otimes$ and $\mathcal{T}_\otimes$ are full and essentially surjective. The faithfulness follows by Lemma 4.4. $\square$

Remark 4.2. Let $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}^c$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{DMV}$ whose objects are algebras $D = \mathcal{D}_\otimes(A)$ with A being a finitely presented MV-algebra. Hence $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}^c$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ and $\mathbf{DMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ is a subcategory equivalent to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$. Note that $\mathbf{DMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ and $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}^c$ have the same objects, but $\mathbf{DMV}'_{\mathbf{fp}}$ has fewer morphisms. We say that the category $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}^c$ is *concrete* since the objects are defined fixing a particular representation. An equivalent concrete category can be defined, by considering the full subcategory of $\mathbf{DMV}$ whose objects have the form DMV_n/I , where I is a principal ideal [11]. In order to get a category that is equivalent to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ we have to take fewer morphisms. One can fill in the details following the construction from the next section. Finally, we note that another concrete category is defined in Remark 6.2.

5 Categories of presentations

We proved that the category $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ of finitely presented algebras is equivalent to categories of DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras whose objects are defined choosing a concrete representation. Fixing a representation might not be desirable when analysing abstract properties therefore, in this section, we present a different construction inspired by the categorical approach to presentations and theories in the general framework of institutions [16]. We analyse finitely presented structures from the point of view of their presentations, i.e. we define categories whose objects are theories determined by finite presentations.

We denote by MV_X , DMV_X and RMV_X the Lindenbaum-Tarski algebras over the set X of variables, corresponding to the systems $\mathbf{L}$, $\mathbf{QL}$ and $\mathbf{RL}$ from Section 2. Let ι_X be the unique morphism of MV-algebras $\iota_X : MV_X \rightarrow DMV_X$ defined by $\iota_X(x) = x$ for any $x \in X$. ι_X is an embedding. In the following, we will often identify $S \subseteq MV_X$ with $\iota_X(S)$. In particular, MV_X will be identified with an MV-subalgebra of DMV_X . Similarly, we shall identify MV_X with an MV-subalgebra of RMV_X .

The theories of $\mathbf{L}$ are in bijective correspondence with the filters of MV_X and, consequently, with the ideals of MV_X . We shall represent a theory by a pair (X, I) , where I is an ideal of MV_X . In the following we consider only

finitely generated theories, but the development can be generalized to arbitrary ones.

Definition 5.1. We define the category **ThMV** in the following way: the objects are pairs (X, I) with X a set and I an finitely generated ideal of MV_X ; the arrows are maps $\sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ such that $\sigma : MV_X \rightarrow MV_Y$ and $\sigma(I) \subseteq J$.

Remark 5.1. (1) In the general theory of institutions, morphisms of theories are usually chosen to be maps from variables to variables, expressing the fact that the truth is invariant under renaming. We use substitutions as morphisms, instead of using renaming maps.

(2) If (X, I) and (Y, J) from **ThMV** are isomorphic then MV_X / I and MV_Y / J are isomorphic MV-algebras. Moreover, if MV_X / I and MV_Y / J are isomorphic MV-algebras then there are morphisms $\sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ and $\tau : (Y, J) \rightarrow (X, I)$ in **ThMV** such that $\sigma(\tau(y))/J = y/J$ and $\tau(\sigma(x))/I = x/I$ for any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$.

(3) In [11], a different category of presentations is defined, by considering morphisms between $\sigma : MV_X / I \rightarrow MV_Y / J$. This category is equivalent with **MV_{fp}** and they are obviously equivalent with a quotient category of **ThMV**. One can see [12] for more details on this subject.

We define **ThDMV** in a similar way and we denote **ThDMV'** the subcategory of **ThDMV** that has the same objects but fewer morphisms: an arrow $\sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ from **ThDMV** is an arrow in **ThDMV'** if $\sigma(X) \subseteq MV_Y$.

The following definition is essential for our development.

Definition 5.2. We say that an ideal J of DMV_X is *MV-generated* if $J = (J \cap MV_X)_{DMV}$. We remark that the inclusion $(J \cap MV_X)_{DMV} \subseteq J$ is always satisfied. The MV-generated ideals of RMV_X are similarly defined.

Note that a principal ideal of either DMV_X or RMV_X is MV-generated if and only if we can replace its generator with an element of MV_X .

Lemma 5.1. *Let I be a principal ideal of DMV_X . Then I is MV-generated and $\iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X)$ is a principal ideal in MV_X .*

Proof. Let $I = (g)_{DMV}$. By Remark 4.1, there exist $g^* \in MV_X$ such that $I = (g^*)_{DMV}$. Hence $g^* \in I \cap MV_X$, $I \subseteq (I \cap MV_X)_{DMV}$ and I is MV-generated.

Since the proof that $\iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X)$ is an ideal of MV_X is routine, let us prove that it is a principal ideal. By Remark 4.1, it is enough to consider the case $g \in I \cap MV_X$. We have $\iota_X^{-1}(g) \in \iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X)$, therefore $(\iota_X^{-1}(g))_{MV} \subseteq \iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X)$.

On the other side, for any $a \in \iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X)$, we have $\iota_X(a) \in I \cap MV_X \subseteq I$, therefore there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\iota_X(a) \leq ng$. This entails that $a \leq n\iota_X^{-1}(g)$ and $\iota_X^{-1}(I \cap MV_X) \subseteq (\iota_X^{-1}(g)]_{DMV}$ and the claim is settled. $\square$

Theorem 5.1. *We define a functor $\mathcal{DT} : \mathbf{ThMV} \rightarrow \mathbf{ThDMV}'$ as follows:*

- *Objects:* $\mathcal{DT}(X, I) = (X, (I]_{DMV})$, where $(I]_{DMV}$ is the DMV-ideal generated by I in DMV_X ;
- *Arrows:* if $\sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ is an arrow in $\mathbf{ThMV}$, $\mathcal{DT}(\sigma)$ is the unique morphism $\sigma_D : (X, (I]_{DMV}) \rightarrow (Y, (J]_{DMV})$ such that $\sigma_D : DMV_X \rightarrow DMV_Y$ and $\sigma_D(x) = \sigma(x)$ for any $x \in X$.

The functor $\mathcal{DT}$ establish a categorical equivalence between $\mathbf{ThMV}$ and $\mathbf{ThDMV}'$.

Proof. We will prove that $\mathcal{DT}$ is full, faithful and essentially surjective.

$\mathcal{DT}$ is faithful: for any $\tau, \sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$, let $\tau_D = \sigma_D$. Then we have $\iota_Y(\tau(x)) = \tau_D(\iota_X(x)) = \sigma_D(\iota_X(x)) = \iota_Y(\sigma(x))$. Being ι_Y injective, we get $\tau(x) = \sigma(x)$ for any $x \in X$. Since X is the generating set, $\tau = \sigma$.

$\mathcal{DT}$ is full: let $\tau : (X, (\iota_X(I)]_{DMV}) \rightarrow (Y, (\iota_Y(J)]_{DMV})$ a morphism in $\mathbf{ThDMV}'$. Then $\tau : DMV_X \rightarrow DMV_Y$, $\tau(X) \subseteq MV_Y$ and $\tau((\iota_X(I)]_{DMV}) \subseteq (\iota_Y(J)]_{DMV}$.

We define $\sigma : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ as the unique map $\sigma : MV_X \rightarrow MV_Y$ such that $\sigma(x) = \tau(x) \in MV_Y$ for any $x \in X$. More precisely, $\iota_Y(\sigma(x)) = \tau(\iota_X(x))$, and since X is the generating set, $\iota_Y \circ \sigma = \tau \circ \iota_X$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} MV_X & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & MV_Y \\ \downarrow \iota_X & & \downarrow \iota_Y \\ DMV_X & \xrightarrow{\tau} & DMV_Y \end{array}$$

We have to prove that $\sigma(I) \subseteq J$. By hypothesis we get $\iota_Y(\sigma(I)) = \tau(\iota_X(I)) \subseteq (\iota_Y(J)]_{DMV}$. Let $a \in I$, then $\sigma(a) \in \sigma(I)$ and $\iota_Y(\sigma(a)) \in (\iota_Y(J)]_{DMV}$. Whence there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in J$ such that $\iota_Y(\sigma(a)) \leq \delta_{\alpha_1}(\iota_Y(b_1)) \oplus \dots \oplus \delta_{\alpha_m}(\iota_Y(b_m)) \leq \iota_Y(b_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \iota_Y(b_m)$, since $\delta_k(x) \leq x$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\iota_Y(\sigma(a)) \leq \iota_Y(b_1 \oplus \dots \oplus b_m)$ implies $\sigma(a) \leq b_1 \oplus \dots \oplus b_m \in J$ so $\sigma(I) \subseteq J$ and $\tau = \mathcal{DT}(\sigma)$.

$\mathcal{DT}$ is essentially surjective: we have to prove that for any (Y, J) in $\mathbf{ThDMV}'$ there exists (X, I) in $\mathbf{ThMV}$ such that $\mathcal{DT}(X, I) = (Y, J)$. If we choose $I = \iota_X^{-1}(J \cap MV_X)$, the conclusion follows by Lemma 5.1. $\square$

The same approach works for theories in $\mathcal{RL}$, but we have to consider only MV-generated ideals. Note that, by Proposition 6.1, there are ideals in RMV_X that are not MV-generated. Let $\mathbf{ThRMV}'$ be the category whose objects are couples (X, I) , where $I \subseteq RMV_X$ is an ideal generated by $f \in DMV_X$ and whose morphisms $\tau : (X, I) \rightarrow (Y, J)$ are maps $\tau : RMV_X \rightarrow RMV_Y$ such that $\tau(I) \subseteq J$ and $\tau(X) \subseteq MV_Y$.

Proposition 5.1. *The categories $\mathbf{ThMV}$, $\mathbf{ThDMV}'$, and $\mathbf{ThRMV}'$ are equivalent.*

Proof. It is similar with the proof of Theorem 5.1. $\square$

Remark 5.2. (1) One can easily see that our results hold for arbitrary theories, not only for finitely presented ones.

(2) We already mentioned that both $\mathcal{QL}$ and $\mathcal{RL}$ are conservative extensions of Łukasiewicz ∞ -valued logic $\mathbb{L}$. For a formula φ denote $Thm(\varphi, \mathbb{L}) = \{\psi \mid \varphi \vdash \psi \text{ in } \mathbb{L}\}$. Our analysis points out an additional property: if α is a formula of $\mathbb{L}$ and φ is a formula of $\mathcal{QL}$ such that $\alpha \in Thm(\varphi, \mathcal{QL})$, then there exists a formula β of $\mathbb{L}$ such that $\alpha \in Thm(\beta, \mathbb{L})$ and $Thm(\varphi, \mathcal{QL}) = Thm(\beta, \mathcal{QL})$. This is no longer true for $\mathcal{RL}$.

6 Dualities with polyhedra

The finitely presented MV-algebras, DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras are intimately connected with the theory of polyhedra. We first recall the main results in our general setting. Following [5], we characterize the polyhedral DMV-algebras and the polyhedral Riesz MV-algebras. The relation between the polyhedral representation and the semisimple tensor product is investigated in Section 6.3. Finally, we prove the polyhedral characterization of the finitely generated and projected Riesz MV-algebras.

6.1 Preliminaries on polyhedral representations

An m -simplex in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the convex hull C of $m + 1$ affinely independent points $\{v_0, \dots, v_m\}$ in the euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$; the points v_i are called vertexes and C is *rational* if any vertex has rational coordinates. A (*rational*) *polyhedron* is the union of finitely many (rational) simplexes.

If $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ are polyhedra, then a map $z : P \rightarrow Q$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$ -map if $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m)$ where all $z_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are piecewise linear functions with integer coefficients. Note that $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps and $\mathbb{R}$ -maps are similarly defined, each corresponding to the given ring of coefficients.

Let us denote by $\mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is the category of polyhedra with $\mathbb{R}$ -maps as morphisms, by $\mathbf{RatPol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ the category of rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps as morphisms and by $\mathbf{RatPol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the category of rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Z}$ -maps as morphisms. The full subcategories of polyhedra lying in the unit cubes are denoted $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and, respectively, $\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}}$.

The Baker and Beynon duality [1, 3, 2] states that the category $\mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ is equivalent to the category of finitely presented Riesz spaces. Following this result, in [36], as well as the preliminary investigations from [15] the authors proves that the categories $\mathbf{RatPol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are equivalent. This result is generalized to DMV-algebras in [31], meaning that the categories $\mathbf{RatPol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$, $\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are equivalent. In [22] it is proved that $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are equivalent. In the last section of the paper we shall further analyze these equivalences.

The next remark summarizes the relation between algebras and polyhedra. Since Riesz MV-algebras are our main focus in this paper, we recall the constructions as done in [22].

Remark 6.1. Let A be a finitely presented Riesz MV-algebra. Hence there are a natural number n and a principal ideal $I = (f)$ of RMV_n such that $A \simeq RMV_n / I$. If $P = f^{-1}(0)$ then P is a polyhedron in $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $A \simeq RMV_n \upharpoonright_P$, where $RMV_n \upharpoonright_P = \{g \upharpoonright_P \mid g \in RMV_n\}$.

The functor $\mathcal{R} : \mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ that gives the duality is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}(P) = RMV_n \upharpoonright_P, \text{ for any polyhedron } P \subseteq [0, 1]^n;$$

$$\mathcal{R}(\lambda) : \mathcal{R}(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(P) \text{ defined by } \mathcal{R}(\lambda)(f) = f \circ \lambda, \text{ for any } \lambda : P \rightarrow Q, \text{ with } P \subseteq [0, 1]^n \text{ and } Q \subseteq [0, 1]^m.$$

The functors $\mathcal{M} : \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ and $\mathcal{D} : \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are defined similarly.

The following proposition is mentioned in Section 4.3.

Proposition 6.1. *There exists at least a principal ideal in RMV_n that is not generated by an element of MV_n .*

Proof. Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ be a non-rational polyhedron. By [22, Theorem 3.3] there exists $f \in RMV_n$ such that $P = f^{-1}(0)$. Consider now $I = (f)$, and assume that we can find $g \in I$ such that $g \in MV_n$ and $I = (g)$. Trivially, since $f \leq kg$ and $g \leq mf$ for appropriate $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ iff $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$, for any $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^n$. This means $P = g^{-1}(0)$, which contradicts [35, Proposition 2.1], that is the fact

that rational polyhedra are exactly zerosets of piecewise linear functions with integer coefficients (see also [36, Lemma 2.5]). $\square$

Using the polyhedral duality, we define another concrete category of finitely presented structures. See Remark 4.2 for more comments.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\sigma : DMV_n|_P \rightarrow DMV_m|_Q$ be a map between finitely presented DMV-algebras, where P, Q are rational polyhedra and assume $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) : Q \rightarrow P$ such that $\mathcal{D}(\lambda) = \sigma$. Then $\sigma(\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}) \subseteq MV_m$ iff $\lambda_i \in MV_m$.*

Proof. The result follows from the fact that $\sigma = \mathcal{D}(\lambda)$ implies $\sigma(\pi_i) = \mathcal{D}(\lambda)(\pi) = \pi_i \circ \lambda$. That is, $\sigma(\pi_i) = \lambda_i$, which settles the claim in both directions. For more details, see [31, Theorem 42]. $\square$

Remark 6.2. If we consider the subcategory of $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ whose objects are algebras of the form $DMV_n|_P$ for some polyhedron P , and whose morphisms are maps $\sigma : DMV_n|_P \rightarrow DMV_m|_Q$ such that $\sigma(\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n\}) \subseteq MV_m$. Then, this category is equivalent to $\mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$.

6.2 Polyhedral algebras

In [5, Theorem 2.4] *polyhedral MV-algebras* are defined as finitely generated MV-subalgebras of $[0, 1] \otimes MV_n|_P$, for some rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$. Applying Theorem 4.1 and Remark 6.1, the latter is isomorphic with $RMV_n|_P$. The following result is straightforward.

Corollary 6.1. *A polyhedral MV-algebra is a MV-subalgebra of a finitely presented Riesz MV-algebra $RMV_n|_P$, where P is a rational polyhedron.*

In the sequel we generalize this definition for DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras. We further characterize polyhedral DMV-algebras and Riesz MV-algebras as hulls of polyhedral MV-algebras and we prove categorical equivalences with polyhedral categories.

Definition 6.1. (i) D is a polyhedral DMV-algebra if it is (up to isomorphism) a finitely generated DMV-subalgebra of some finitely presented Riesz MV-algebra. We denote the full subcategory of such DMV-algebras by $\mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}$.

(ii) R is a polyhedral Riesz MV-algebra if it is (up to isomorphism) a finitely generated Riesz MV-subalgebra of some finitely presented Riesz MV-algebra. We denote the full subcategory of such Riesz MV-algebras by $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}$.

Proposition 6.2. (i) D is a polyhedral DMV-algebra iff it is the divisible hull of a polyhedral MV-algebra.

(ii) R is a polyhedral Riesz MV-algebra iff it is the Riesz hull of a polyhedral MV-algebra.

Proof. (i) For the “right-to-left” direction, assume $D = \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A)$ and $A \subseteq [0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P$, for some rational polyhedron P . Then $D = [0, 1]_Q \otimes A \subseteq [0, 1]_Q \otimes ([0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P) \simeq [0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P$ and the claim is settled by [31, Theorem 3.2], since D is generated by $\{1 \otimes a \mid a \in A\}$ and A is finitely generated in $[0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P$.

On the other direction, let D be a polyhedral DMV-algebra and let $\{d_1, \dots, d_k\}$ be its generators in $[0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P$. Since $[0, 1] \otimes MV_n |_P$ is generated by $\{1 \otimes f \mid f \in MV_n |_P\}$, there are $\{f_1, \dots, f_p\}$ such that D is the DMV-algebra generated by $\{1 \otimes f_1, \dots, 1 \otimes f_p\}$. Let A be the MV-algebra generated by $\{f_1, \dots, f_p\}$. By definition and [31, Proposition 3.3], A is a polyhedral MV-algebra and D is its divisible hull.

(ii) It follows from [17, Corollary 4.2] in a similar way. $\square$

Following [5], it is straightforward to define the functors:

$\mathcal{P}_D : \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_D(P) = DMV_n |_P;$$

$$\mathcal{P}_D(\eta)(f) = f \circ \eta, \text{ for any } \mathbb{Q}\text{-map } \eta : P \rightarrow Q.$$

$\mathcal{P}_R : \mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}$ defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_R(P) = RMV_n |_P;$$

$$\mathcal{P}_R(\eta)(f) = f \circ \eta, \text{ for any } \mathbb{R}\text{-map } \eta : P \rightarrow Q.$$

Theorem 6.1. *The functors $\mathcal{P}_D$ and $\mathcal{P}_R$ establish categorical duality between rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps and polyhedral DMV-algebras, and polyhedra with $\mathbb{R}$ -maps and polyhedral Riesz MV-algebras respectively.*

Proof. Both $\mathcal{P}_D$ and $\mathcal{P}_R$ are hom-functors, and therefore contravariant functors. Fullness and faithfulness of the functors can be proved exactly as in [31, Theorem 4.2] and [22, Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.4], since the functors are the same.

To prove that $\mathcal{P}_D$ is essentially surjective, we need to prove that for any $D \in \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}$ there exists $P \in \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that $\mathcal{P}_D(P) = D$, that is $D = DMV_n |_P$ for some P . By Proposition 6.2(i), D is the divisible hull of a polyhedral MV-algebra A . Let $P \subseteq [0, 1]^m$ be a rational polyhedron such that $A \simeq MV_m |_P$, which exists since in [5] is proved that polyhedral MV-algebras form a category dual to rational polyhedra with $\mathbb{Z}$ -maps. By Theorem 4.1 we get $D \simeq [0, 1]_Q \otimes MV_m |_P \simeq DMV_n |_P$ and the claim is settled.

The conclusion follows analogously for $\mathcal{P}_R$. $\square$

Remark 6.3. Note that in the previous proof we have often used the fact that a finitely presented algebra MV_n/I is isomorphic with the algebra of piecewise linear functions restricted to the polyhedron $P = f^{-1}(0)$, where f generates the ideal I .

6.3 Tensor products and polyhedra

A key point in the proof of duality between algebras (MV-algebras, DMV-algebras, RMV-algebras) and polyhedra is the description of a finitely presented algebra as an algebra of continuous piecewise linear functions restricted to a polyhedron. In particular if $f \in MV_n$ and $P = f^{-1}(0)$ then $MV_n/(f)_{MV} = MV_n|_P$, $RMV_n/(f)_{RMV} = RMV_n|_P$ and $DMV_n/(f)_{DMV} = DMV_n|_P$. As consequence, the same polyhedron P corresponds to the MV-algebra $A = MV_n/(f)_{MV}$, to the algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(A)$ and to the algebra $\mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(A)$. The tensor functor is reflected in the categories of polyhedra at the level of morphisms and, in the sequel, we want to analyse this phenomenon.

Assume $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, Q) : \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is the Hom-functor for any polyhedron Q .

Remark 6.4. Using the notations from the previous section, we have $\mathcal{P}_D(P) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P, [0, 1])$ and $\mathcal{P}_R(P) = \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(P, [0, 1])$.

Denote $\mathbf{C}_n = [0, 1]^n$, which is a semisimple MV-algebra.

Lemma 6.2. *If $n, m \geq 1$ and $P \subseteq [0, 1]^m$ is a rational polyhedron then*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n MV_m|_P.$$

In particular, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ is a semisimple MV-algebra. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ is a polyhedral MV-algebra.

Proof. Note that $\lambda \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ iff $\lambda = (\lambda_1|_P, \dots, \lambda_n|_P)$ where $\lambda_i \in MV_m$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$. It follows that $\lambda \in \prod_{i=1}^n MV_m|_P$, so $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n MV_m|_P$ and it is semisimple since it is a product of semisimple MV-algebras. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ is polyhedral since a product of polyhedral MV-algebras is a polyhedral MV-algebra. $\square$

With similar definitions, $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ is a polyhedral DMV-algebra and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)$ is a polyhedral Riesz MV-algebra for any rational polyhedron $P \subseteq [0, 1]^m$.

Consequently, we define the Hom-functors

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n) &: \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{poly}}, \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n) &: \mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}, \\
\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n) &: \mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 6.3. *With the above notations, for any $n \geq 1$ we have*

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n) \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)) \text{ and } \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n) \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_n)).$$

Proof. For $n = 1$ we have

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(P, \mathbf{C}_1) = \mathbf{DMV}_m|_P \simeq \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{MV}_m|_P) = \mathcal{D}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_1)),$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(P, \mathbf{C}_1) = \mathbf{RMV}_m|_P \simeq \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{MV}_m|_P) = \mathcal{T}_{\otimes}(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(P, \mathbf{C}_1)).$$

For $n > 1$ we apply Lemma 6.2 and Proposition 4.1. $\square$

The following commutative diagrams, with $\hookrightarrow$ being the inclusion functors, illustrate the relation between the categories of polyhedra and the tensor functors.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n)} & \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{poly}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{D}_{\otimes} \\
\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Q}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n)} & \mathbf{DMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}
\end{array}
\quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n)} & \mathbf{MV}_{\mathbf{poly}} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{T}_{\otimes} \\
\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{R}}(-, \mathbf{C}_n)} & \mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{poly}}
\end{array}$$

Figure 3. Tensor product and polyhedral structures.

7 Finitely presented and projective Riesz MV-algebras

In this section we complement the existing literature on the connection between Riesz MV-algebras and polyhedral geometry: we extend the duality between finitely presented Riesz MV-algebras and polyhedra in some $[0, 1]^n$ to a duality with polyhedra in some $\mathbb{R}^n$ and we prove a polyhedral duality for the finitely generated and projective Riesz MV-algebras.

Let $S = \text{conv}(x_1, \dots, x_t)$ be a simplex. We recall that a *face* of S is an $S' = \text{conv}(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_w})$, for $i_1, \dots, i_w \in 1, \dots, t$ and a *simplicial complex* is a set of simplexes that intersect in a common face.

Lemma 7.1. *For any polyhedron $P \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ there exists $d \geq 0$ and $Q \subseteq [0, 1]^d$ such that P is $\mathbb{R}$ -homeomorphic to Q .*

Proof. The proof is a variant of [36, Claim 3.5]. By [45, Proposition 2.11], P is the underlying polyhedron of a simplicial complex Σ , and let $v_1, \dots, v_d$ be its vertexes. Let $e_1, \dots, e_d$ be the canonical base of $\mathbb{R}^d$, and we define

$$I = \{\{i_1, \dots, i_u\} \mid 1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_u \leq d \text{ and } \text{conv}(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_u}) \in \Sigma\}.$$

Let Δ be the set of simplexes in $[0, 1]^d$ defined by $\text{conv}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_u})$, for $\{i_1, \dots, i_u\} \in I$. Since Σ is a simplicial complex, so is Δ .

We now consider the inclusion-preserving bijection $\lambda : \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ induced by $v_i \rightarrow e_i$. We have $\lambda(\text{conv}(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_u})) = \text{conv}(e_{i_1}, \dots, e_{i_u})$.

Denoted by Q the polyhedron whose underlying simplicial complex is Δ , [45, Proposition 2.18] entails the existence of an $\mathbb{R}$ -map λ_1 between P and Q .

Defining $\lambda' : \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$ via $e_i \mapsto v_i$, we obtain a second $\mathbb{R}$ -map λ_2 between Q and P . By definition $\lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2 = \text{Id}_Q$ and $\lambda_2 \circ \lambda_1 = \text{Id}_P$, and the claim is settled. $\square$

We then define a functor $\mathcal{P} : \mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ by

Objects: For any $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$, $\mathcal{P}(P) = P$;

Arrows: For any $\lambda : P \subseteq [0, 1]^n \rightarrow Q \subseteq [0, 1]^m$, we define $\mathcal{P}(\lambda) = \lambda$.

Proposition 7.1. *$\mathcal{P}$ establishes a categorical equivalence between $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. The proof is similar with [31, Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 4.6], via Lemma 7.1. $\square$

It is now straightforward that the following holds.

Corollary 7.1. *$\mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$, $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ and the opposite category of $\mathbf{RMV}_{\mathbf{fp}}$ are equivalent categories.*

Finally we analyse the finitely generated and projective Riesz MV-algebras.

We first recall that a *projective* MV-algebra (Riesz MV-algebra, DMV-algebra) is an algebra A such that for any B and C MV-algebras (Riesz MV-algebras, DMV-algebra) and any homomorphisms $f : B \twoheadrightarrow C$, $g : A \rightarrow C$, with f surjective, there exists $h : A \rightarrow B$ such that $g = f \circ h$.

The definitions of a projective ℓu -group and a projective Riesz space with strong unit are similar. Note that morphism must preserve the strong unit.

It can be easily seen that free algebras are projective, see for example [41, Example 17.2] for the case of MV-algebras. We remark that finitely generated and projective MV-algebras and finitely presented MV-algebras do not coincide. In particular, finitely generated and projective MV-algebras are a proper subclass of finitely presented ones, and the proof is a “tour de force in algebraic geometry” [6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Our first result states that the functor $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ preserves the finitely generated and the projective structures.

Proposition 7.2. *Let A be a Riesz MV-algebra and (V, u) the Riesz Space with strong unit such that $A = \Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}(V, u)$. Then*

- (i) *A is projective if and only if (V, u) is projective,*
- (ii) *A is finitely generated if and only if (V, u) is finitely generated.*

Proof. (i) It is straightforward, using the fact that $\Gamma_{\mathbb{R}}$ establishes a categorical equivalence.

(ii) It is a consequence of the fact that the unit interval of a Riesz space with strong unit generates the whole space. $\square$

The following lemma is [36, Corollary 3.6] specialized to the case of Riesz MV-algebras.

Lemma 7.2. *Let A be a Riesz MV-algebras. Then the following are equivalent.*

- i) *A is finitely presented and projective,*
- ii) *A is finitely generated and projective,*
- iii) *if $P \subseteq [0, 1]^n$ is a polyhedron such that $A = \mathcal{R}(P)$, then P is a retract by a unital $\mathbb{R}$ -map of $[0, 1]^n$.*

Proof. It follows *mutati mutandis* from [36, Corollary 3.6], via the general results on polyhedral topology one can find in [45]. $\square$

The following figure summarizes the results related to geometrical dualities for DMV-algebras. For the categories of algebras, **fgp** stands for finitely generated and projective, **fp** stands for finitely presented, **fpp** stands for finitely presented and projective. $\mathbf{rRatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{rPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some unit cube that are retract of the cube, with $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps and $\mathbb{R}$ -maps respectively. $\mathbf{RatPol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{Pol}_{[0,1]}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some unit cube, with $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps and $\mathbb{R}$ -maps respectively. $\mathbf{RatPol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\mathbf{Pol}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\mathbb{R}}$ are the categories of polyhedra in some $\mathbb{R}^n$, with $\mathbb{Q}$ -maps and $\mathbb{R}$ -maps respectively. For all categories of polyhedra, **Rat** stand for “rational”.




Figure 4. DMV-algebras, Riesz MV-algebras and polyhedra.

Acknowledgement

A. Di Nola and S. Lapenta acknowledge partial support from the Italian National Research Project (PRIN2010-11) entitled *Metodi logici per il trattamento dell'informazione*. I. Leuştean was supported by a grant of the Romanian National Authority for Scientific Research and Innovation, CNCS-UEFISCDI, project number PN-II-RU-TE-2014-4-0730. She is also grateful to Traian Şerbănuţă for enlightening conversations on the general theory of presentations and finitely presented structures.

References

- [1] Baker K.A., *Free vector lattices*, Canad. J. Math 20 (1968) 58-66.
- [2] Beynon W. M., *Combinatorial aspects of piecewise linear functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 7 (1974), 719-727.
- [3] Beynon W. M., *Duality theorem for finitely generated vector lattices*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 31(3) (1975) 114-128.
- [4] Birkoff G., *Lattice Theory*, AMS Coll. Publ. 25, 3rd Ed., (1973).
- [5] Busaniche M., Cabrer L., Mundici D., *Polyhedral MV-algebras*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 292 (2016) 150–159.

- [6] Cabrer L.M., *Rational simplicial geometry and projective lattice-ordered abelian groups*, arXiv:1405.7118v1 [math.RA] (2014).
- [7] Cabrer L.M., Mundici D., *Projective MV-algebras and rational polyhedra*, Algebras Universalis 62(1) 2009 63-74.
- [8] Cabrer L.M., Mundici D., *Finitely presented lattice-ordered abelian groups with order unit*, Journal of Algebra, 343 (2011) 1-10.
- [9] Cabrer L.M., Mundici D., *Rational polyhedra and projective lattice ordered abelian groups with strong unit*, Communications in Contemporary Mathematics 14(3) (2012) DOI: 10.1142/S0219199712500174.
- [10] Cabrer L.M., Mundici D., *Retractions of free MV-algebras and unital ℓ -groups*, arXiv:1509.06042v1 [math.RA].
- [11] Cabrer L.M., Spada L., *MV-algebras, infinite dimensional polyhedra, and natural dualities*, arXiv:1603.01005 [math.RA].
- [12] Caramello O., Marra V., Spada L., *General affine adjunctions, nullstellensatzes and dualities*, arXiv:1412.8692 [math.CT].
- [13] Chang C.C., *Algebraic analysis of many valued logics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958) 467-490.
- [14] Chang C.C., *A new proof of the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms*, Transactions American Mathematical Society, vol 93 (1959), 74-80.
- [15] Cignoli R., D'Ottaviano I.M.L., Mundici D., *Algebraic foundation of many valued Reasoning*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht (2000).
- [16] Diaconescu R., *Institution-Independent Model Theory*. Birkhäuser (2008).
- [17] Diaconescu D., Leuştean I., *The Riesz Hull of a Semisimple MV-algebra*, Mathematica Slovaca (special issue in honor of Antonio Di Nola), 65(4) (2015) 801–816.
- [18] Di Nola A., Flondor P., Leustean I., *MV-modules*, Journal of Algebra 267(1) (2003) 21-40.
- [19] Di Nola A., Lettieri A., *On normal forms in Łukasiewicz logic*, Arch. Math. Logic 43 (2004) 795-823.
- [20] Di Nola A., Leuştean I., *Łukasiewicz Logic and MV-algebras*, Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic, Vol II (Cintula et al., eds). Studies in Logic 37, College Publications, London, 2011.

- [21] Di Nola A., Leuştean I., *Łukasiewicz logic and Riesz Spaces*, Soft Computing, 18(12) (2014) 2349-2363.
- [22] Di Nola A., Lenzi G., Vitale G., *Riesz-McNaughton functions and Riesz MV-algebras of nonlinear functions*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems (2016) DOI:10.1016/j.fss.2016.03.003.
- [23] Flaminio T., Kroupa Tomas, *States of MV-algebras*, Handbook of Mathematical Fuzzy Logic Vol III (Cintula et al., eds). Studies in Logic 37, College Publications, London, 2011.
- [24] Fremlin D.H., *Measure Theory*, 1995. Available at <http://www.essex.ac.uk/maths/staff/fremlin/mt.htm>
- [25] Georgescu G., Liguori F., Martini G., *Convergence in MV-algebras*, Mathware and Soft Computing 4(1) (1997) 41-52.
- [26] Gerla B., Rational Łukasiewicz logic and Divisible MV-algebras, Neural Networks World 10(11) (2001), 579-584.
- [27] D. Gluschankof, *Prime deductive systems and injective objects in the algebras of Łukasiewicz infinite-valued calculi*, Algebra Universalis 29 (1992), 354-377.
- [28] Hájek P., *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Dordrecht (1998).
- [29] Kroupa T., *Every state on semisimple MV-algebra is integral*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 157 (2006), 2771-2782.
- [30] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *Scalar extensions for the algebraic structures of Łukasiewicz logic*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 220 (2016) 1538-1553.
- [31] Lapenta S., Leuştean I., *Notes on DMV-algebras*, submitted, arXiv:1605.01230 [math.LO].
- [32] Leuştean I., *State-complete Riesz MV-algebras and L-Measure Spaces*, Proceedings of IMPU, Springer (2012) 226-234.
- [33] Luxemburg W.A.J., Zaanen A.C., *Riesz Spaces I*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1971).
- [34] Marra V., Reggio R., *Stone duality above dimension zero: axiomatising the algebraic theory of $C(X)$* , arXiv:1508.07750v3 [math.LO].

- [35] Marra V., Mundici D., *The Lebesgue state of a unital abelian lattice-ordered group*, Journal of Group Theory 10(5) (2007) 655-684.
- [36] Marra V., Spada L., *Duality, projectivity and unification in Łukasiewicz logic and MV-algebras*, Annals of Pure and Applied logic 164(3) (2013) 192-210.
- [37] McNaughton R., *A theorem about infinite-valued sentential logic*, Journal of Symbolic Logic 16, 1-13 (1951).
- [38] Mundici D., *Interpretation of ACF^* -algebras in Łukasiewicz sentential calculus*, J. Funct. Anal. 65 (1986) 15-63.
- [39] Mundici D., *Averaging the Truth-Value in Łukasiewicz Logic*, Studia Logica 55 (1995) 113-127.
- [40] Mundici D., *Tensor products and the Loomis-Sikorski theorem for MV-algebras*, Advanced in Applied Mathematics 22 (1999), 227-248
- [41] Mundici D., *Advances in Łukasiewicz calculus and MV-algebras*, Trends in Logic 35 Springer (2011).
- [42] Novák V., Perfilieva I., Močkoř I.J., *Mathematical Principles of Fuzzy Logic*, Kluwer Academic Publisher, Boston/Dordrecht (1999).
- [43] S. Ovchinnikov, *Max-Min Representation of Piecewise Linear Functions*, Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie Contributions to Algebra and Geometry 43, 297-302 (2002).
- [44] Panti G., *Invariant measures in free MV-algebras*, Communications in Algebras 36 (2008) 2849-2861.
- [45] Rourke C.P., Sanderson J.B., *Introduction to piecewise-linear topology*, Springer Study Edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982. Reprint.